

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/776,345	Applicant(s) LEE, KYUNG-GEUN	
	Examiner Aristotelis M. Psitos	Art Unit 2627	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Aristotelis M. Psitos. (3) _____.

(2) Howard Levy. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: NONE.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representative indicated that the previous OA as found in paragraph 2 on page 4 thereof was incomprehensible, due to various errors, i.e., typographical: is the --- us --- in line four the word is?; there is no ending section as found in lines 4-6 of this paragraph, nor how figures 2 and 3 pertain to what has been identified; and that lines 13-14 are not complete. In short, these errors render the OA incomprehensible. The examiner regreted and apologized for such deficiencies and will vacate the previous OA and mail a new action with appropriate reasons..



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Fax Cover Sheet

Date: 10 May 2007

To: Mr. H. Levy	From: Aristotelis M. Psitos
Application/Control Number: 10/776,345	Art Unit: 2627
Fax No.: 202-216-9510	Phone No.: (571) 272-7594
Voice No.: 202-216-9505	Return Fax No.: (571) 273-8300
Re: sn 776345	CC:

☐ **Urgent** ☐ **For Review** ☐ **For Comment** ☐ **For Reply** ☒ **Per Your Request**

Comments:
see attached interview record summary form

Number of pages __ **including this page**

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This facsimile transmission is an Official U.S. Government document which may contain information which is privileged and confidential. It is intended only for use of the recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited. If this document is received in error, you are requested to immediately notify the sender at the above indicated telephone number and return the entire document in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450